arXiv:1210.4629v2 [math.RT] 5 Nov 2012

ON SPRINGER ISOMORPHISMS FOR GROUPS OF CLASSICAL TYPE

PAUL SOBAJE

Abstract. Let G be a simple algebraic group of classical type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, and assume that p is a very good prime for G. Let P be a parabolic subgroup whose unipotent radical U_P has nilpotence class less than p. We show that there exists a Springer isomorphism for G which restricts to a certain canonical isomorphism $Lie(U_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_P$ defined by J.-P. Serre. This answers, in these cases, a question raised both by G. McNinch in [7], and by J. Carlson *et. al* in [1].

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be a simple algebraic group over k, and assume that p is *good* for G. Let P be a parabolic subgroup whose unipotent radical U_P has nilpotence class less than p, and let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{u}_P$ be the Lie algebras of G and U_P respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ the nilpotent variety of $\mathfrak{g}$, and by $\mathcal{U}(G)$ the unipotent variety of G.

An argument due to J.-P. Serre, given in [10] and more elaborately in [9], demonstrates that there is a particularly nice P-equivariant isomorphism $\varepsilon_P : \mathfrak{u}_P \xrightarrow{\sim} U_P$. This isomorphism is canonical in the sense that it is uniquely determined by a few desirable properties which we will detail below. If the prime p is also *very good* for G, which means that is it good and that it does not divide the order of the fundamental group of G, then in this case T.A. Springer proved that there exists a G-equivariant isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(G)$ [12]; such a map is known as a 'Springer isomorphism.' For a given G, the set of Springer isomorphisms can be parameterized by a variety of dimension equal to the rank of G (see [7, §10]).

Any Springer isomorphism for G will restrict to a P-equivariant isomorphism between $\mathfrak{u}_P$ and U_P [7, Remark 10]. G. McNinch observed in Remark 27 of *loc. cit.* that if $p \geq h$, the Coxeter number of G, then there is always a Springer isomorphism whose restriction yields ε_P for all parabolic subgroups P (all of which have unipotent radicals with nilpotence class less than p by virtue of the fact that $p \geq h$). The author then asks if this remains true when $p < h$. This same question also appears in work by J. Carlson, Z. Lin, and D. Nakano [1, §2.7], where the question is posed because an answer in the affirmative would give an immediate proof of [1, Theorem 3] (in fact it would give a slightly stronger result as it would avoid the hypothesis that the p-nilpotent cone is a normal variety, an assumption not yet known to be true in all relevant cases).

In this paper we show that for simple groups of classical type, there is indeed a Springer isomorphism which restricts to ε_P on every parabolic subgroup P whose unipotent radical has nilpotence class less than p. As will be seen in the proof for the case of SL_n, such a Springer isomorphism is in general not unique. To obtain the result for other classical simple subgroups $G \leq GL_n$, we make use of the

Artin-Hasse exponential series, together with some work due to McNinch, to find a Springer isomorphism for GL_n which restricts to a Springer isomorphism for G.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. **Notation and Conventions.** In general G will denote a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, although we will later focus only on groups of classical type, meaning a group of type A, B, C or D. Fix T to be a maximal torus of G, and let Φ denote the root system of G with respect to T. We say that p is a *good* prime for G if p does not divide the coefficients of the highest root of Φ. This implies that $p > 2$ if G is of type B, C or D; $p > 3$ if G is of type E_6, E_7, F_4 or G_2; and $p > 5$ if $G = E_8$. We further say that p is *very good* if it does not divide the order of the fundamental group of G. This is already satisfied by good primes in all types except for type A. We note that this condition is equivalent to requiring that the covering $G_{sc} \to G$ be a separable morphism, where G_{sc} denotes the simply-connected group isogenous to G.

We denote by $\mathcal{U}(G)$ the unipotent variety of G, and by $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ the nilpotent variety of its Lie algebra. The conjugation action of G on itself induces an action on both $\mathcal{U}(G)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$. Each variety is irreducible, and each has a unique open orbit under the action of G, referred to in both contexts as the *regular* orbit. Elements in a regular orbit are also called regular. The subvariety of p-unipotent elements in G will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}_1(G)$, while $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the $[p]$-nilpotent variety of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ is the restriction map on $\mathfrak{g}$. We also will refer to $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ as the restricted nullcone.

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G whose unipotent radical U_P has nilpotence class less than p. We will follow [2] in referring to P as a *restricted* parabolic subgroup of G (we note that this formulation is stated differently than in *loc. cit.*, though it is equivalent in the cases we are considering).

1.2. **Springer Isomorphisms.** For p very good for G, Springer [12] first proved that there exists a G-equivariant homeomorphism between $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(G)$. This was later shown to be an isomorphism due to the normality of both varieties. Springer's method will not be recounted here (but is presented clearly in [4, §6.21]). Rather, we aim to justify the claim that finding a Springer isomorphism for G (in very good characteristic) reduces to finding a regular nilpotent element X and a regular unipotent element u whose centralizers $C_G(X)$ and $C_G(u)$ are equal.

First, we noted earlier that the regular unipotent and the regular nilpotent orbits are open, and in fact both have the property that their complements are of codimension at least 2 in their respective varieties. The normality of $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(G)$ then allows for any isomorphism between these orbits to be extended uniquely to an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(G)$. Thus, finding a Springer isomorphism reduces to finding a G-equivariant isomorphism between the regular orbits. This, however, can be reduced to finding X and u as above. The key result used in this last step is that the G-orbit of X is isomorphic to the quotient $G/C_G(X)$ (see §2.2 and §2.9 of [5], and note that in the case of type A, we obtain the result for SL_n by instead working over GL_n, as the unipotent and nilpotent varieties of SL_n are the same as those of GL_n).

1.3. **A Canonical Exponential For Restricted Parabolics.** Let p be good for G, and suppose that P is a restricted parabolic subgroup of G. In [9, Proposition

5.3] (credited by the author to Serre), a P-equivariant isomorphism $\varepsilon_P : \mathfrak{u}_P \xrightarrow{\sim} U_P$ is constructed which comes from base-changing the usual exponential isomorphism in characteristic 0. This isomorphism can be identified over k according to the following properties:

1. It is P-equivariant.
2. There is a group structure on $\mathfrak{u}_P$ given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, and ε_P is an isomorphism of algebraic groups with respect to this structure on $\mathfrak{u}_P$.
3. The tangent map is the identity.

Let us comment a little further on this last property. The morphism ε_P defines for each $X \in \mathfrak{u}_P$ an additive one-parameter subgroup of U_P according to the map $s \mapsto \varepsilon_P(sX), s \in k$. If we let write $k[t]$ for the coordinate algebra of the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a$, then the differential of this one-parameter subgroup sends $\frac{d}{dt}$ to X.

1.4. **Good A_1 Subgroups.** In order to establish a useful lemma in the next section, let us recall more from the work of G. Seitz in [9]. Again let G be simple and let p be good for G. A closed subgroup $A \leq G$ is of type A_1 if A is isomorphic to SL_2 or PSL_2. Let T_A be a maximal torus of A. We say that A is *good* if $\mathfrak{g}$, as a T_A-module, only has weights which are $\leq 2p-2$.

Now, suppose that u is a p-unipotent element in G. This next result does not appear as a theorem in *loc. cit.*, but is given in the summarization following Theorem 1.3 of *loc. cit.*:

Theorem 1.1. [9] *There is a unique monomorphism $\varphi_u : \mathbb{G}_a \to G$ with image contained in a good A_1 and satisfying $\varphi_u(1) = u$.*

1.5. **The Artin-Hasse Exponential.** For a given prime p, the Artin-Hasse exponential is the power series $E_p(t)$ defined by

$$E_p(t) = exp\left(t + \frac{t^p}{p} + \frac{t^{p^2}}{p^2} + \cdots\right)$$

This power series evidently lies in $\mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$, however one can actually prove that $E_p(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\![t]\!]$ (see [3, Proposition 1] for a more general fact). Let C_i denote the coefficient of t^i in $E_p(t)$, and c_i its image in $\mathbb{F}_p$ under the unique homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. We obtain in this way an element $e_p(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p[\![t]\!] \subseteq k[\![t]\!]$, where the coefficient of t^i in $e_p(t)$ is c_i.

We note that as elements in $\mathbb{Q}[\![t]\!]$, the series $E_p(t)$ will agree with the series $exp(t)$ over its first p coefficients. Thus $C_i = 1/i!$ for $i < p$. We also must point out that some sources, for example [11], define the Artin-Hasse exponential to be the series

$$F_p(t) = exp\left(-\left(t + \frac{t^p}{p} + \frac{t^{p^2}}{p^2} + \cdots\right)\right)$$

In particular, this definition is the one employed by McNinch in [6, Proposition 7.5], a result which we will later use. As observed in [3], this series is just the inverse of $E_p(t)$, in the sense that $F_p(t)E_p(t) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\![t]\!]$.

2. An Isomorphism On The Restricted Nullcone

All of our results, both in this section and in the next, will be facilitated by working with some explicit constructions for GL_n. Recall that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ identifies with M_n, the set of $n \times n$ matrices over k, with the Lie algebra structure on M_n being given by $[X, Y] = XY - YX$. Under this identification, the adjoint action of $g \in GL_n$ corresponds to its conjugation action on M_n.

In characteristic 0, the exponential series defines a preferred Springer isomorphism for GL_n. One important feature of this isomorphism is that it restricts to an isomorphism between the nilpotent and unipotent varieties of any closed subgroup (see [6, Proposition 7.1]). In characterstic p, however, the exponential series is only valid when $p \geq n$. We can instead work with the p-truncated maps $\underline{\exp}$ and $\underline{\log}$, where for any $X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, and any $1 + X \in \mathcal{U}(GL_n)$, we have

$$\underline{\exp}(X) = 1 + X + \frac{X^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{X^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}$$

$$\underline{\log}(1 + X) = X - \frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{X^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^p X^{p-1}}{p-1}$$

These maps are morphisms of algebraic varieties, and commute with the conjugation action of GL_n. Moreover, if $X^p = 0$, then $\underline{\log}(\underline{\exp}(X)) = X$. One can then see fairly easily that $\underline{\exp}$ is also surjective, thus defining a GL_n-equivariant isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and $\mathcal{U}_1(GL_n)$. In fact, we shall see in the next section that $\underline{\exp}$ even defines a Springer isomorphism for GL_n (though its inverse, as a Springer isomorphism, will not be $\underline{\log}$, but rather some other morphism which is equal to $\underline{\log}$ when restricted to $\mathcal{U}_1(GL_n)$).

Let G be a simple algebraic group, embedded as a closed subgroup of GL_n, for some n. We have $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, and $\mathcal{U}_1(G) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1(GL_n)$. While it is in general not true that $\underline{\exp}$ will restrict to an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}_1(G)$, this is in fact the case if we further assume that G is a "classical" subgroup of GL_n, with the embedding given by its natural module [13, Lemma 1.8]. Suppose now that P is a restricted parabolic subgroup of such a subgroup G. We then see that $\mathfrak{u}_P \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ (this is implied, for instance, by [9, Proposition 5.3]). The following lemma shows that, as might be expected, the map $\underline{\exp}$ takes $\mathfrak{u}_P$ to U_P, and agrees with ε_P.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be one of classical subgroups SL_n, SO_n, or Sp_n ($n = 2n'$ in this case), with its standard embedding in GL_n, and assume that p is good for G. Suppose that P is a restricted parabolic subgroup of G, and that $X \in \mathfrak{u}_P$. Then*

$$\varepsilon_P(X) = 1 + X + \frac{X^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{X^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}$$

Proof. As noted above, the truncated exponential defines a G-equivariant isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}_1(G)$. As $\mathfrak{u}_P \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_P \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1(G)$, the reasoning from [7, Remark 10] can be used to establish that $\underline{\exp}$ defines a P-equivariant isomorphism between $\mathfrak{u}_P$ and U_P.

Let $X \in \mathfrak{u}_P$, and let $u = \varepsilon_P(X) \in U_P$. By the theory of good A_1 subgroups (Theorem 1.1), we get a unique embedding $\varphi_u : \mathbb{G}_a \to G$ such that $\varphi_u(1) = u$. We see in the remarks following both Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 4.1 of [9] that $\varphi_u(s)$

is given by a formula which is equal to $\underline{\exp}(s \cdot \underline{\log}(u))$. In particular, it follows from the preceding paragraph that the image of φ_u lies in U_P. We may therefore apply the argument of Proposition 5.5 of *loc. cit.* to see that $\varphi_u(s) = \varepsilon_P(sY)$ for some $Y \in \mathfrak{u}_P$.

We now have $\varepsilon_P(X) = \varphi_u(1) = \varepsilon_P(Y)$, therefore $Y = X$ as ε_P is injective on $\mathfrak{u}_P$. We see then that $\varepsilon_P(sX) = \underline{\exp}(s \cdot \underline{\log}(u))$ for all $s \in k$. By comparing the differentials of these morphisms, we must have that $\underline{\log}(u) = X$, thus proving the claim. ☐

3. Main Results On Springer Isomorphisms

Let $a = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be any sequence of elements in k, and consider the map

$$\phi_a : \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \to \mathcal{U}(GL_n), \quad \phi_a(X) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i X^i$$

As with the truncated exponential of the previous section, this map is algebraic, respects the conjugation action of GL_n, and thus defines a GL_n-equivariant morphism from $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ to $\mathcal{U}(GL_n)$. Moreover if $a_1 \neq 0$ and if Y is regular nilpotent, then it follows from [5, 6.7(1)] that $a_1 Y + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a_i Y^i$ will be also be regular nilpotent, so that $\phi_a(Y)$ is a regular unipotent element. This is most easily seen when Y is the nilpotent matrix which is a Jordan block of size n, and it is then true for any conjugate of Y.

We see that $C_{GL_n}(Y) \subseteq C_{GL_n}(\phi_a(Y))$. By the existence of a Springer isomorphism for GL_n, $C_{GL_n}(\phi_a(Y))$ is equal to $gC_{GL_n}(Y)g^{-1}$ for some $g \in GL_n$. The inclusion $C_{GL_n}(Y) \subseteq gC_{GL_n}(Y)g^{-1}$ implies that $C_{GL_n}(Y)^0 \subseteq (gC_{GL_n}(Y)g^{-1})^0$, in which case they are equal as they have the same dimension. But both groups have the same number of components, so we have $C_{GL_n}(Y) = gC_{GL_n}(Y)g^{-1} = C_{GL_n}(\phi_a(Y))$. Thus there is a Springer isomorphism ϕ which maps Y to $\phi_a(Y)$, and it must in fact be given by ϕ_a, since ϕ and ϕ_a are equal on the regular nilpotent orbit which is open in the irreducible variety $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. In this way any sequence $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_1 \neq 0$, defines a Springer isomorphism for GL_n (compare with [7, §10]).

In particular, we may choose a sequence such that $a_i = 1/i!$ for $i < p$. In view of Lemma 2.1, such a sequence will define a Springer isomorphism for GL_n which has our desired restriction property.

Proposition 3.1. *If G is a simple group of type A, and p is very good for G, then there exists a Springer isomorphism ϕ such that for every restricted parabolic group P, the restriction of ϕ to $\mathfrak{u}_P$ is the isomorphism ε_P.*

Proof. The discussion preceding the proposition establishes the result for GL_n, and thus also for SL_n. If G is any group isogenous to SL_n, then the assumption on p implies that the isogeny $\psi : SL_n \to G$ will be separable, thus inducing an isomorphism of varieties between the nilpotent and unipotent varieties of SL_n and G respectively. Furthermore, any restricted parabolic of G will be $\psi(P)$ for some restricted parabolic P of SL_n, and ψ (resp. $d\psi$) will carry U_P (resp. $\mathfrak{u}_P$) isomorphically onto its image. ☐

Let G now be one of our other classical simple subgroups of GL_n and let P be a restricted parabolic subgroup of G. Let ϕ_a be a Springer isomorphism for GL_n defined by some sequence $a = \{a_i\}$ where $a_i = 1/i!$ for $i < p$. The arguments above, together with Lemma 2.1, show that if $p > 2$, then ϕ_a restricts to ε_P. However, it is not necessarily true that ϕ_a will restrict to a Springer isomorphism for G. To ensure this latter property holds, we will work with the sequence given by the Artin-Hasse exponential series.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be either SO_n or Sp_n, $n = 2n'$ in the latter case, and identify $G \leq GL_n$ via its natural embedding. Let ϕ_c be the Springer isomorphism for GL_n given by the sending $X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ to $e_p(X)$, where $e_p(t)$ is the image of the Artin-Hasse exponential series in $k[\![t]\!]$. Then ϕ_c restricts to a Springer isomorphism for G.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$, and let m be the smallest non-negative integer such that $X^{p^m} = 0$. In [6, Proposition 7.5], McNinch proves that there is an injective morphism $E_X : \mathcal{W}_m \to G$, where $\mathcal{W}_m$ denotes the group of Witt vectors of length m over k, the map being given by:

$$(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}) \mapsto e_p(a_0X)e_p(a_1X^p)\cdots e_p(a_{m-1}X^{p^{m-1}})$$

In particular, $e_p(X)$ is the image of $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ under this map, proving the claim. $\square$

Remark 3.3. As noted earlier, the definition of the Artin-Hasse exponential used in [6] is inverse to the one we are using. Thus, the definition of the map E_X given here would correspond in McNinch's work to the map E_{-X}. As $X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \iff -X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$, the proof holds regardless.

We can now give the main result of this section. We note that the expression X^p used above only makes sense if $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ for some n, hence we will use the more intrinsic notation $X^{[p]}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a simple algebraic group of classical type, and suppose that p is very good for G. Then there is a Springer isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}(G)$ such that:*

1. *For any restricted parabolic $P \leq G$, ϕ restricted to $\mathfrak{u}_P$ is ε_P.*
2. *For all $X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\phi(X^{[p]}) = \phi(X)^p$.*
3. *If $X \neq 0$, and m is the least integer such that $X^{[p^m]} = 0$, then ϕ defines an injective morphism $\mathcal{W}_m \to G$ given by*

$$(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}) \mapsto \phi(a_0X)\phi(a_1X^{[p]})\cdots\phi(a_{m-1}X^{[p^{m-1}]})$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where G is one of the groups SL_n, SO_n, or Sp_{2n}, with its natural embedding in GL_n or GL_{2n}, and with corresponding Springer isomorphism given by the Artin-Hasse exponential series. Property (1) then follows from Lemma 2.1 and Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, while (3) holds for ϕ thanks to [6, Proposition 7.5]. Statement (2) follows from (3), but since we have not recalled anything about the structure of Witt groups, let us instead note that since the coefficients of $e_p(t)$ lie in $\mathbb{F}_p$ we get $e_p(X)^p = e_p(X^p) = e_p(X^{[p]})$ (in this last equality we are using the fact that the embedding of G guarantees that X^p as an element of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is equal to the image of $X^{[p]}$).

The assumption that p is very good guarantees that these results will also apply to any group isogenous to one of the groups listed above. □

Remark 3.5. Using different methods, McNinch proved in [8, Theorem 35] that for any G simple in very good characteristic there exists a Springer isomorphism which respects p-th powers (i.e. property (2) above).

Remark 3.6. Without recalling the setup of [1], we merely note here that for simple groups of classical type, Theorem 3 of *loc. cit.* remains valid without the assumption that $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ is a normal variety (see also the final paragraph of §2.7 of *loc. cit.*).

4. Further Questions

We conclude by giving a few follow-up questions, the first of which is to be expected.

Question 4.1. *Can we extend these results to groups of exceptional type?*

In particular, for G of exceptional type in good characteristic, can we find an embedding of G in GL_n such that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $e_p(X) \in G$? If so, this would also solve the problem of finding an embedding of G into GL_n which is of *exponential-type* (see [13, Lemma 1.7] for more on the problem of exponential-type embeddings, and [6, §7.4] for some answers).

Question 4.2. *In characteristic p which Springer isomorphism, if any, best replaces the exponential map?*

If $p \geq h$, then Serre's construction (§1.3) can be applied to the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup $B \leq G$. We then have a map ε_B which extends to a Springer isomorphism ϕ (as stated in the introduction, this was observed in [7, Remark 27]). The isomorphism ϕ is independent of the choice of B, so in this case we have a canonical Springer isomorphism which in some sense best fills in for the exponential map. That is, it satisfies many of the important properties of the exponential map, which is not surprising as ε_B comes from base-changing the exponential map in characteristic 0. In particular, we have that for each $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$, $s \mapsto \phi(sX)$ defines a one-parameter subgroup of G.

The question now becomes: if $p < h$ is there still a good replacement for the exponential map? Obviously the above statement about one-parameter subgroups would need to be replaced by a similar statement for Witt groups, thus Theorem 3.4 might be a good start towards answering such a question.

Acknowledgements: We wish to acknowledge helpful discussions and comments from Zongzhu Lin, George McNinch, Dan Nakano, Arun Ram, and Craig Westerland. This research was partially supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (DP1095831, DP0986774 and DP120101942).

References

[1] J. Carlson, Z. Lin, and D. Nakano, *Support Varieties for modules over Chevalley groups and classical Lie algebras*, Trans. A.M.S. **360** (2008), 1870-1906.

[2] J. Carlson, Z. Lin, D. Nakano, and B. Parshall, *The restricted nullcone*, Contemp. Math., **325** (2003), 51-75.

[3] J. Dieudonné, *On the Artin-Hasse exponential series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 210-214.

[4] J.E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy Classes In Semisimple Algebraic Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995.

[5] J.C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent Orbits in Representation Theory*, Progr. Math. 228, Birkháuser, Boston, 2004.

[6] G. McNinch, *Abelian unipotent subgroups of reductive groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **167** (2002), no. 2-3, 269-300.

[7] G. McNinch, *Optimal $SL(2)$-homomorphisms*, Comment. Math. Helv., **80** (2005), no. 2, 391-426.

[8] G. McNinch, *Sub-principal homomorphisms in positive characteristic*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 433-455.

[9] G. Seitz, *Unipotent elements, tilting modules, and saturation*, Invent. Math. **141** (2000) 3, 467-502.

[10] J.-P. Serre, *Exemples de plongements des groupes $\mathbb{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ dans des groupes de Lie simples*, Invent. Math. **124** (1-3) (1996) 525-562.

[11] J.-P. Serre, *Algebraic Groups and Class Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 117, Springer, New York, 1988.

[12] T. A. Springer, The unipotent variety of a semi-simple group, in: Algebraic Geometry (International Colloquium, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1968), Oxford University Press, London, 1969, pp. 373-391.

[13] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, and C. Bendel, *Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology*, Journal of the A.M.S. **10** (1997), 693-728.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA
paul.sobaje@unimelb.edu.au
Phone: +61 401769982